

# The Monotonicity Formula

Jesse Ratzkin

April 7, 2009

In this note we prove the monotonicity formula for minimal submanifolds of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and discuss some of its consequences.

Let  $\Sigma^k \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a  $k$ -dimensional submanifold of Euclidean space, and let  $H$  be its mean curvature vector. Recall that  $\Sigma$  is minimal if  $H \equiv 0$ . Because  $H$  is the first variation of the  $k$ -dimensional volume of  $\Sigma$ , the requirement  $H \equiv 0$  is equivalent to  $\Sigma$  being a critical point of the volume functional under compactly supported variations. In other words,  $\Sigma$  is minimal means precisely

$$\int_{\Sigma} \operatorname{div}_{\Sigma}(X) = 0 \quad (1)$$

for any vector field  $X$  (with values in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ) with compact support.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\Sigma^k \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be minimal. Fix  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $R > r > 0$ , and denote the (ambient) ball centered at  $x_0$  with radius  $\rho$  by  $B_{\rho}$ . Then*

$$R^{-k} \operatorname{Vol}(B_R \cap \Sigma) - r^{-k} \operatorname{Vol}(B_r \cap \Sigma) = \int_{(B_R \setminus B_r) \cap \Sigma} \frac{|(x - x_0)^{\perp}|^2}{|x - x_0|^{k+2}}. \quad (2)$$

Before we begin the proof, observe that mean curvature scales: for any  $\lambda > 0$

$$H(\lambda \Sigma) = \frac{1}{\lambda} H(\Sigma).$$

In particular, any rescaling of a minimal submanifold is still minimal. Indeed, this is the key observation for understanding the monotonicity formula. One can understand monotonicity giving a variational characterization of the fact that minimality is preserved by rescaling. Knowing this, one should first try to prove monotonicity by applying the divergence theorem as in equation (1) to a radial vector field. In fact, this is the approach we will take. Intuitively, the vector field you want to consider is  $r\partial_r$  restricted to a ball. This is not quite smooth enough to apply the variational formula, so we will need to regularize it.

The proof below is essentially the proof in Simon's book [Si], and easily adapts to the case where  $\Sigma$  is a minimal varifold or a minimal current. One can find another proof in Colding and Minicozzi's book [CM].

*Proof.* To start, we will show

$$R^{-k} \operatorname{Vol}(B_R \cap \Sigma) - r^{-k} \operatorname{Vol}(B_r \cap \Sigma) \geq 0,$$

and then recover the right hand side of the inequality above by examining our calculation more carefully.

Let  $\rho = |x - x_0|$ , and for a given compactly supported function  $\eta$  consider the vector field  $X_{\eta} = \eta(\rho)(x - x_0)$ . For  $p \in \Sigma$ , choose an adapted orthonormal frame

$$\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}, \quad \{E_1, \dots, E_k\} \in T_p \Sigma, \quad \{E_{k+1}, \dots, E_n\} \in (T_p \Sigma)^{\perp}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{div}_\Sigma(X) &= \sum_{j=1}^k \langle \nabla_{E_j} \eta(x - x_0), E_j \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^k \eta(\rho) \langle E_j, E_j \rangle + \sum_{j=1}^k \langle (E(\eta))(x - x_0), E_j \rangle \\
&= k\eta(\rho) + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\eta'(\rho)}{\rho} \langle (x - x_0)_j (x - x_0), E_j \rangle = k\eta(\rho) + \frac{\eta'(\rho)}{\rho} |(x - x_0)^T|^2 \\
&= k\eta(\rho) + \rho\eta'(\rho) \left( \frac{|(x - x_0)^T|^2}{|x - x_0|^2} \right) = k\eta(\rho) + \rho\eta'(\rho) \left( \frac{|x - x_0|^2 - |(x - x_0)^\perp|^2}{|x - x_0|^2} \right) \\
&= k\eta(\rho) + \rho\eta'(\rho) \left( 1 - \frac{|(x - x_0)^\perp|^2}{\rho^2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

For  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  choose  $\phi(t)$  such that

$$\phi'(t) \leq 0, \quad \phi(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & t \leq 1 - \epsilon \\ 0 & t \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\eta(\rho) = \phi(\rho/r)$  and define

$$I(r) = \int_\Sigma \eta(\rho) = \int_\Sigma \phi\left(\frac{\rho}{r}\right). \quad (3)$$

By the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} I(r) = \operatorname{Vol}(B_r \cap \Sigma).$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho\eta'(\rho) &= \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} (\phi(\rho/r)) = \frac{\rho}{r} \phi'(\rho/r) \\
r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\phi(\rho/r)) &= r \left( -\frac{\rho}{r^2} \phi'(\rho/r) \right) = -\frac{\rho \phi'(\rho/r)}{r} = -\rho\eta'(\rho).
\end{aligned}$$

Now evaluate equation (1) with  $X = \eta(\rho)(x - x_0)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \int_\Sigma k\eta(\rho) + \rho\eta'(\rho) \left( 1 - \frac{|(x - x_0)^\perp|^2}{|x - x_0|^2} \right) \\
&= \int_\Sigma k\phi(\rho/r) - r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\phi(\rho/r)) + r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\phi(\rho/r)) \frac{|(x - x_0)^\perp|^2}{\rho^2} \\
&\geq \int_\Sigma k\phi(\rho/r) - r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\phi(\rho/r)) = k \int_\Sigma \phi(\rho/r) - r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \int_\Sigma \phi(\rho/r) \\
&= kI(r) - rI'(r).
\end{aligned}$$

Now differentiate

$$\frac{d}{dr} (r^{-k} I(r)) = -kr^{-k-1} I(r) + r^{-k} I'(r) = -r^{-k-1} (kI - rI') \geq 0.$$

We conclude that  $r^{-k} I(r)$  is a monotone nondecreasing function of  $r$ . Let  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ , we then see  $r^{-k} \operatorname{Vol}(B_r \cap \Sigma)$  is monotone nondecreasing. In other words,

$$R^{-k} \operatorname{Vol}(B_R \cap \Sigma) - r^{-k} \operatorname{Vol}(B_r \cap \Sigma) \geq 0$$

for  $0 < r < R$ .

To obtain equation (2) we analyze the term we discarded:

$$\int_{\Sigma} r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}(\phi(\rho/r)) \frac{|(x-x_0)^{\perp}|^2}{|x-x_0|^2}.$$

Notice that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(\phi(\rho/r)) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow (1-\epsilon)r \leq \rho \leq r,$$

or, equivalently,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(\phi(\rho/r)) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow (1-\epsilon)^k \rho^{-k} \leq r^{-k} \leq \rho^{-k}.$$

Therefore

$$(1-\epsilon)^k \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}(\phi(\rho/r)) \frac{|(x-x_0)^{\perp}|^2}{|x-x_0|^{k+2}} \leq r^{-k} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}(\phi(\rho/r)) \frac{|(x-x_0)^{\perp}|^2}{|x-x_0|^2} \leq \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}(\phi(\rho/r)) \frac{|(x-x_0)^{\perp}|^2}{|x-x_0|^{k+2}}.$$

Letting  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$  and using the dominated convergence theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \int_{B_r \cap \Sigma} \frac{|(x-x_0)^{\perp}|^2}{|x-x_0|^{k+2}} &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\Sigma} r^{-k} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}(\phi(\rho/r)) \frac{|(x-x_0)^{\perp}|^2}{|x-x_0|^2} \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} r^{-k} \int_{\Sigma} r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}(\phi(\rho/r)) - k\phi(\rho/r) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{d}{dr}(r^{-k} I(r)) \\ &= \frac{d}{dr}(r^{-k} \text{Vol}(B_r \cap \Sigma)). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating this last equation between  $r$  and  $R$ , we get

$$\int_{(B_R \setminus B_r) \cap \Sigma} \frac{|(x-x_0)^{\perp}|^2}{|x-x_0|^{k+2}} = R^{-k} \text{Vol}(B_R \cap \Sigma) - r^{-k} \text{Vol}(B_r \cap \Sigma),$$

as claimed.  $\square$

Along, the same lines, one can prove a mean value theorem for functions  $f \in C^2(\Sigma)$ . Again, if  $0 < r < R$  then

$$\begin{aligned} R^{-k} \int_{B_R \cap \Sigma} f - r^{-k} \int_{B_r \cap \Sigma} f &= \int_{(B_R \setminus B_r) \cap \Sigma} f \frac{|(x-x_0)^{\perp}|^2}{|x-x_0|^{k+2}} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_r^R \rho^{-k-1} \left( \int_{B_{\rho} \cap \Sigma} (\rho^2 - |x-x_0|^2) \Delta_{\Sigma} f \right) d\rho. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

The proof of (4) is the same as the proof of (2), except that one must weight the volume form of  $\Sigma$  by the function  $f$ .

In fact, even the weak form

$$R^{-k} \text{Vol}(B_R \cap \Sigma) - r^{-k} \text{Vol}(B_r \cap \Sigma) \geq 0 \tag{5}$$

of monotonicity is useful. First we notice that (5) is an equality if and only if  $(x-x_0)^{\perp} = 0$  on  $(B_R \setminus B_r) \cap \Sigma$ . This can only occur if the part of  $\Sigma$  in the annulus  $B_R \setminus B_r$  is contained in the cone over  $x_0$ . If equality in (5) holds for all  $r > 0$  then  $B_R \cap \Sigma$  is a  $k$ -dimensional cone over  $x_0$ . If, additionally,  $\Sigma$  is smooth at  $x_0$ , then  $\Sigma$  can only be a  $k$ -dimensional plane in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Next, we define the function

$$\Theta_{x_0}(r) = \frac{\text{Vol}(B_r \cap \Sigma)}{r^k \text{Vol}(B_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^k)}, \quad (6)$$

where  $x_0 \in \Sigma$  and  $r > 0$ . By equation (5), for  $0 < r < R$  we have

$$\Theta_{x_0}(R) - \Theta_{x_0}(r) = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(B_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^k)} (R^{-k} \text{Vol}(B_R \cap \Sigma) - r^{-k} \text{Vol}(B_r \cap \Sigma)) \geq 0,$$

and so  $\Theta_{x_0}(r)$  is a nondecreasing function of  $r$  for each  $x_0 \in \Sigma$ . The limit as  $r \rightarrow 0^+$  exists, and we use it to define the density of  $\Sigma$  at  $x_0$  as

$$\Theta_{x_0} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \Theta_{x_0}(r). \quad (7)$$

Observe that, if  $\Sigma$  is a smooth, embedded submanifold in a neighborhood of  $x$ , then  $\Theta_x = 1$ . Geometrically,  $\Theta_x$  measures the number of smooth sheets of  $\Sigma$  in a small ball centered at  $x$ . In general,  $\Theta_x \geq 1$ , and strict inequality can occur, as the following example shows. Let  $\Sigma$  be the union of two  $k$ -dimensional planes in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which intersect transversally. For all  $x$  in this intersection,  $\Theta_x = 2$ . Indeed, this example shows that in general  $\Theta$  is not continuous. Denote the two  $k$ -planes as  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$ , and take a sequence of points  $x_j \in \Sigma_1 \setminus \Sigma_2$ , with  $x_j \rightarrow x \in \Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2$ . Then  $\Theta_{x_j} = 1$  while  $\Theta_x = 2$ , so we see the density function can suddenly jump up. The regularity statement below is thus the best one can hope to achieve.

**Proposition 2.** *The density function  $\Theta_{x_0}$  is an upper semi-continuous function on  $\Sigma$ .*

*Proof.* We want to show

$$\Theta_x \geq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Theta_{x_j}$$

if  $x_j \rightarrow x$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  and choose  $r > 0$  such that  $\Theta_x(r) \leq \Theta_x + \delta$ . If  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  and  $x_j$  satisfies  $|x - x_j| < \epsilon r$  then  $B_{(1-\epsilon)r}(x_j) \subset B_r(x)$ . In this case

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{x_j} &\leq \Theta_{x_j}((1-\epsilon)r) = \frac{\text{Vol}(B_{(1-\epsilon)r}(x_j) \cap \Sigma)}{(1-\epsilon)^k r^k \text{Vol}(B_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^k)} \\ &\leq \frac{\text{Vol}(B_r(x) \cap \Sigma)}{(1-\epsilon)^k r^k \text{Vol}(B_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^k)} = (1-\epsilon)^{-k} \Theta_x(r) \\ &\leq (1-\epsilon)^{-k} (\Theta_x + \delta). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Now choose  $\epsilon$  small enough so that

$$(1-\epsilon)^{-k} \Theta_x \leq \Theta_x + \delta, \quad (1-\epsilon)^{-k} \leq 2.$$

Putting these two inequalities together with (8) implies  $\Theta_{x_j} \leq \Theta_x + 3\delta$ . However,  $\delta$  was arbitrary, so

$$\Theta_x \geq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Theta_{x_j},$$

completing the proof.  $\square$

This phenomenon persists for limit of minimal submanifolds. If  $\Sigma^j$  is a sequence of minimal submanifolds converging (in some sense) to  $\Sigma$ , then the corresponding density functions cannot suddenly jump down. Intuitively, this says you can't suddenly lose volume when taking a limit of minimal submanifolds. On the other hand, you can suddenly gain volume (consider the limit of rescaled catenoids, or rescaled helicoids, where the scaling parameter goes to zero).

## References

- [CM] T. Colding and W. Minicozzi. Minimal Surfaces. Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1999.
- [Si] L. Simon. Lecture on Geometric Measure Theory. Proc. Centre Math. Anal. Australia Nat. Univ. No. 3, 1983.